

## IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, CUTTACK 'SMC' BENCH, CUTTACK

# BEFORE SHRI DUVVURU RL REDDY, VICE PRESIDENT(KZ) AND , ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

## ITA No.239/CTK/2025 Assessment Year : 2017-18

Saroj Kumar Senapati
Patharadi, Charampa, Bhadrak,
756101
PAN/GIR No. AYCPS 1847 B

(Appellant)

Vs. ITO, Bhadrak Ward, Bhadrak

(Respondent)

Assessee by : Shri B.R.Panda, Adv Revenue by : Shri S.C.Mohanty, Sr. DR

Date of Hearing: 30/06/2025 Date of Pronouncement: 30/06/2025

### ORDER

IMPARTIAL EASY AND

The present appeal is directed at the instance of assessee against the order of Id.AddI/JCIT(A)-2, Mumbai dated 24/11/2023 IN Appeal No. CIT(A),Cuttack/10955/2019-20 passed for Assessment Year 2017-18.

20 mm

2. The appeal filed by the assessee is time barred by 448 days. The assessee has filed condonation petition supported by an affidavit stating that due to frequent health problems, he could not consult the advocate about the order being passed by the ld CIT(A). Even otherwise, the order passed by the ld CIT(A) is an exparte order and the assessee was not

ITA No.239/CTK/2025

Assessment Year : 2017-18

aware of the same. He prayed that the delay in filing the appeal before the

be condoned. Ld Sr DR opposed the condonation petition.

3. After hearing the parties and perusing the condonation petition, I am

satisfied that the assessee had reasonable cause in not filing then appeal

before the Tribunal within the stipulated time, therefore, I condone the

delay of 446 days and admit the appeal for adjudication.

4. Brief facts of the case are that the assesse is an individual carrying

on rice business on wholesale basis filed his return of income for the

assessment year 2017-18 on 21.3.2018 disclosing net income at

Rs.2,98,520/-. The case was selected for scrutiny on the alleged substantial

cash deposits in the bank. The Assessing Officer noticed that the total

cash deposit in two banks was Rs.99,27,624/-. Accordingly, the AO

required the assessee to furnish the source of cash deposited in the bank by

issuance of notice u/s.143(2) and 142(1) of the Act. However, the assessee

has not complied with the notices. Even, the show cause notice was not

complied with. As the assessee failed to produce all books of account, bills

and vouchers in support of the expenditure, therefore, the genuineness of

cash deposit in the bank was doubted by the AO. Hence, the AO treated

the total credit in the bank of Rs.99,27,624/- as turnover and estimated the

profit @ 8%, which comes to Rs.7,94,210/-. Thus, the estimated profit of

Page 2 | 4

WWW.TAXSCAN.IN - Simplifying Tax Laws - 2025 TAXSCAN (ITAT) 1315

ITA No.239/CTK/2025

Assessment Year: 2017-18

Rs.7,94,210/- was treated as income under the head "profits and gains of

business profession" and deduction claimed was not allowed.

5. On appeal, the ld CIT(A) dismissed the appeal of the assessee due to

non-compliance to the notices issued. Hence, the assessee is in further

appeal before the Tribunal.

6. At the time of hearing, ld AR of the assessee submitted that the ld

CIT(A) has passed the order exparte without affording reasonable

opportunity to the assessee. He further submits that the profit rate

estimated by the AO by applying the section 44AD @ 8% is excessive

considering the nature of business. He further submits that the matter be

restored to the file of the CIT(A) and the assessee will comply with the

notices for fresh adjudication.

7.

5. In reply, ld Sr DR opposed the prayer of the assessee.

I have considered the rival submissions. It is an admitted fact that

due to non appearance by the assesee before the CIT(A), the Ld. CIT(A)

CDEEDY HISTIN

decided the appeal exparte and dismissed the appeal of the assesee. It is

the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the assessee that if an opportunity is

given, he is in a position to substantiate his case before the ld CIT(A) as the

ld CIT(A) has passed the order due to con-compliance of the notices.

Considering the totality of the facts of the case and in the interest of justice

Page 3 | 4

ITA No.239/CTK/2025 Assessment Year: 2017-18

I deem it proper to restore the issue to the file of the CIT(A) with a

direction to grant one final opportunity to the assessee to substantiate his

case. The assessee is also hereby directed to appear before the CIT(A)

without seeking any adjournment under any pretext failing which the Ld.

CIT(A) is at liberty to pass appropriate order as per law. The grounds

raised by the assesee are accordingly allowed for statistical purpose.

8. In the result, appeal of the assessee stands allowed for statistical

purposes.

Order dictated and pronounced in the open court on 30/06/2025.

Sd/-

(DUVVURU RL REDDY)
VICE PRESIDENT

Cuttack: Dated 23/06/2025

B.K.Parida, Sr. PS (OS)

### **Copy of the Order forwarded to:**

1. The Appellant: Saroj Kumar Senapti Patharadi, Charampa, Bhadrrak, 756101

2. The Respondent: ITO, Bhadrak Ward, Bhadrak

- 3. The Addl/JCIT(A)-,2, Mumbai
- 4. Pr.CIT-Cuttack
- 5. DR, ITAT, Cuttack
- Guard file. //True Copy//

By order

Asst.Registrar, **Itat, cuttack**